

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/871,279	NELSON, EUGENE C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHNNA R. LOFTIS	3624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHNNA R. LOFTIS. (3) _____.

(2) Robert Bodi. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19-21.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants reply did not address previous rejections to claims 19-21 under 35 USC 112 in office action dated 1/6/09. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 19-21 to proceed with allowance of claims 1, 6, 13-18, 22 and 24.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Johnna R Loftis/ Examiner, Art Unit 3624	/Bradley B Bayat/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624
--	---